

| Board Assurance Framework (BAF) 2022-23 | | | |
|---|--|---|----|
| Meeting | Board of Directors | | |
| Date | 14/12/2022 | Agenda Item | 12 |
| Lead Director | Alison Hughes, Director of Corporate Affairs | | |
| Author(s) | Karen Lees, Head of Corporate Governance | | |
| Action required (please select the appropriate box) | | | |
| To Approve <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | To Discuss <input type="checkbox"/> | To Assure <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Purpose | | | |
| <p>The purpose of this paper is to provide the Board of Directors with an update and assurance on the management of the strategic risks through the Board Assurance Framework, agreed at the Board of Directors meeting in June 2022. This update provides the position following the review of all strategic risks at the committees of the Board during November and December 2022.</p> | | | |
| Executive Summary | | | |
| <p>The Board has in place a full Board Assurance Framework which reflects the priority areas of focus in each of the committees of the Board and is driving discussion and appropriate escalation to the Board of Directors.</p> <p>Following the agreement of the initial strategic risks at the April 2022 Board of Directors meeting, the strategic risks were discussed by the committees of the board in order to agree any further changes. These changes reflected the emerging position with the establishment of the Integrated Care System in July 2022, and the current Trust position. These changes were presented at the June 2022 Board of Directors meeting and were agreed together with the format of the strategic risk structure template</p> <p>During November and December 2022, the committees of the board have completed a further review of the strategic risks in order to provide a full update to the Board of Directors, included at appendix 1.</p> <p>The committees have reviewed mitigation, gaps, outcomes and trajectories to mitigate risks.</p> <p>There were no recommendations for changes from either the Quality & Safety Committee or the People & Culture Committee; all strategic risks were reviewed with some gaps noted as complete (highlighted in grey text).</p> | | | |



The Finance & Performance Committee reviewed again the position in relation to ID03 and following discussions at the informal board in November 2022, where a mid-year review of all strategic risks was completed, ID03 has been reviewed in terms of the current risk description and associated mitigations, gaps, outcomes and trajectories. This is included in **appendix 1** for board approval.

Of the 9 strategic risks being actively tracked through the Board Assurance Framework none are scoring more than RR12.

There are 3 high-level organisational risks which are being monitored via the committees of the board. Whilst high-level it is not proposed that these risks impact on the current scoring of any strategic risk.

Through formal and informal meetings, the Board of Directors will continue to discuss the Board Assurance Framework including the identification of any new or emerging risks particularly in the context of legislative changes and arrangements at Place level.

Risks and opportunities:

The BAF records the principal risks that could impact on the Trust’s ability in achieving its strategic objectives. Therefore, failure to correctly develop and maintain the BAF could lead to the Trust not being able to achieve its strategic objectives or its statutory obligations. There are opportunities through the effective development and use of the BAF, to enhance the delivery of the Trust’s strategic objectives and effectively mitigate the impact of the principal risks contained within the BAF. .

Quality/inclusion considerations:

Quality & Equality Impact Assessment completed and attached No.

The quality impact assessments and equality impact assessments are undertaken through the work streams that underpin the BAF.

Financial/resource implications:

Any financial or resources implications are detailed in the BAF for each risk.

The Trust Vision – To be a population health focused organisation specialising in supporting people to live independent and healthy lives. The Trust Objectives are:

- Populations – We will support our populations to thrive by optimising wellbeing and independence
- People – We will support our people to create a place they are proud and excited to work
- Place - We will deliver sustainable health and care services within our communities enabling the creation of healthy places

Please select the top three Trust Strategic Objectives and underpinning goals that this report relates to, from the drop-down boxes below.

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| People - Improve the wellbeing of our employees | Populations - Safe care and support every time | Place - Make most efficient use of resources to ensure value for money |
|---|--|--|



The Trust Social Value Intentions

Does this report align with the Trust social value intentions? Not applicable

If Yes, please select all of the social value themes that apply:

Community engagement and support

Purchasing and investing locally for social benefit

Representative workforce and access to quality work

Increasing wellbeing and health equity

Reducing environmental impact

Board of Directors is asked to consider the following action

To consider the mitigations, gaps, outcomes and actions already populated for each of the strategic risks

To approve the revised risk description and associated detail for ID03.

Report history (Please include details of the last meeting that received this paper, including the title of the meeting, the date, and a summary of the outcome)

| Submitted to | Date | Brief summary of outcome |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| Informal Board | 03/11/21 | An interim review of the Board Assurance Framework was completed with the Director of Corporate Affairs noting the findings from the phase 1 internal audit Assurance Framework Review. |
| Board of Directors | 08/12/21 | The Board of Directors received the update provided in relation to the strategic risks managed through the BAF and noted the current risk rating, mitigations in place and identified gaps. The Board of Directors approved the revised risk description for ID10 and supported the recommendation from the Education & Workforce Committee to review the workforce strategic risks through an informal board session. |
| Informal Board | 05/01/22 | The informal board session reviewed and agreed revisions to the strategic workforce risks managed through the BAF to be formally reported to EWC in February 2022. |
| Board of Directors | 09/02/22 | The Board of Directors was assured by the oversight and management of strategic risks through the sub-committees of the Board and |



| | | |
|--------------------|----------|---|
| | | approved the proposed increase in risk rating for ID01, the revised strategic workforce risks and the increased risk rating for ID10. |
| Board of Directors | 13/04/22 | The Board of Directors received the update provided in relation to the strategic risks, noting the current risk rating, mitigations in place and identified gaps and approved the reduced risk rating for ID04 The Board of Directors received the BAF as the year-end position. |
| Informal Board | 11/05/22 | The members of the Board considered the strategic risks for 2022-23 reflecting on the risks tracked through the BAF in 2021-22 and the Trust's 5-year strategy. |
| Board of Directors | 15/06/22 | The members of the Board received and approve recommendations from the committees of the Board on the proposed strategic risks for tracking through the Board Assurance Framework during 2022-23; and approved the strategic risk structure template. |
| Board of Directors | 17/08/22 | The Board received an update following review of all strategic risks at the committees of the Board. |
| Board of Directors | 17/10/22 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Approve the revised risk descriptions for ID01 and ID02 based on a recommendation from the Quality & Safety Committee - Note the position regarding ID03 - Approve the revised risk descriptions for ID05 and ID06 based on a recommendation from the Finance & Performance Committee - Approve the increase in risk rating for ID08 to RR12 (from RR8) - Approve the decrease in risk rating for ID09 to RR12 (from RR16) <p>To be assured by the progress with the development of the strategic risk template for Board Assurance Framework through the sub-committees of the Board</p> |
| Informal Board | 02/11/22 | The members of the Board completed a mid-year review of the strategic risks managed through the Board |



| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | | Assurance Framework. It was agreed to revisit the risk description and associated mitigations for ID03. |
|--|--|---|



Strategic risk summary 2022-23

| Risk Description | Committee oversight | Link to 5-year strategy | Current risk rating (LxC) | Target risk rating (LxC) | Risk Appetite |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| ID01 - Failure to deliver services safely and responsively to inclusively meet the needs of the population | Quality & Safety Committee | Safe Care & Support every time | 3 x 4 (12) | 2 x 4 (8) | Averse |
| ID02 - Failure to deliver services inclusively with people and communities guiding care, supporting learning and influencing change | Quality & Safety Committee | Inequity of access and experience and outcomes for all groups in our community resulting in exacerbation of health inequalities | 3 x 4 (12) | 2 x 4 (8) | Averse |
| ID03 (NEW) The collaborative becomes a 'one size fits all' / Lead Provider collaborative and is not cognisant of the political climate, partner relationships and subtleties of working in Place for community services. | Finance & Performance Committee | Deliver sustainable health and care services | 2 x 2 (4) | 1 x 2 (4) | Open |
| ID04 - The financial settlement requires an unachievable efficiency target creating a risk to the financial sustainability of the organisation | Finance & Performance Committee | Make most efficient use of resources to ensure value for money | 3 x 4 (12) | 2 x 4 (8) | Averse |
| ID05 - Poor financial performance at Place creates a negative impact on the Trust and leads to increased monitoring and regulation | Finance & Performance Committee | Deliver sustainable health and care services | 3 x 4 (12) | 1 x 4 (4) | Averse |
| ID06 Trust operational and financial performance is poor and has an impact on Place performance and future monitoring and regulation | Finance & Performance Committee | Deliver sustainable health and care services | 2 x 4 (8) | 1 x 4 (4) | Averse |

| Risk Description | Committee oversight | Link to 5-year strategy | Current risk rating (LxC) | Target risk rating (LxC) | Risk Appetite |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| ID07 - Our people do not feel looked after, their employee experience is poor, and their health and wellbeing is not prioritised | Education & Workforce Committee | Improve the wellbeing of our employees Better employee experience to attract and retain talent | 3 x 4 (12) | 1 x 4 (4) | Averse |
| ID08 - Our People Inclusion intentions are not delivered; people are not able to thrive as employees of our Trust and the workforce is not representative of our population | Education & Workforce Committee | Improve the wellbeing of our employees Better employee experience to attract and retain talent | 3 x 4 (12) | 1 x 4 (4) | Cautious |
| ID09 - Safe Staffing levels are not maintained across the Trust impacting on the safe delivery of services, staff morale and regulatory compliance | Education & Workforce Committee | Grow, develop and realise potential | 3 x 4 (12) | 2 x 4 (8) | Averse |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Averse | Prepared to accept only the very lowest levels of risk |
| Cautious | Willing to accept some low risks |
| Moderate | Tending always towards exposure to only modest levels of risk |
| Open | Prepared to consider all delivery options even when there are elevated levels of associated risk |
| Adventurous | Eager to seek original/pioneering delivery options and accept associated substantial risk levels |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|
| 5 Almost certain | | | | | |
| 4 Likely | | | | | |
| 3 Possible | | | | | |
| 2 Unlikely | | | | | |
| 1 Rare | | | | | |
| | 1 Insignificant | 2 Minor | 3 Moderate | 4 Major | 5 Catastrophic |

Consequence

Board Assurance Framework 2022-23

Strategic risks with oversight at Quality & Safety Committee

When considering the mitigations and structures in place for each strategic risk, the committee recognises the following standing mitigations which constitute the quality governance framework in place across the Trust.

Corporate Governance

- The Quality & Safety Committee meets on a bi-monthly schedule with an agreed annual workplan in place
- The committee has Terms of Reference in place, reviewed annually
- The Chief Nurse is the Executive Lead for the committee
- The Chief Nurse is also the Trust Lead for addressing health inequalities
- The Integrated Performance Board is the highest operational group in the Trust and maintains oversight and scrutiny of performance to provide assurance to the committee
- The committee completes a self-assessment against its work in respect of the agreed Terms of Reference
- In accordance with the Trust's Risk Policy, the committee receives a report on high-level organisational risks, and can access all operational risk status through the TIG on-line system, to monitor actions to mitigate risks and determine any impact on strategic risks being managed through the BAF
- The committee receives an update on trust-wide policies related to the duties of the committee (via SAFE) and on the implementation of recommendations from internal audit reviews (via TIG - Audit Tracker Tool)
- The Chair of the committee meets with the governor chair of the Governor Quality Forum to provide a briefing after each meeting of the committee

Quality Governance

- The quality governance structure in place provides clarity on the groups reporting to the committee
- The committee receives the Terms of Reference for the groups reporting to it
- The committee contributes to the development of the annual quality plan and priorities and receives bi-monthly assurance on implementation
- The committee receives the minutes from group meetings for noting
- The committee contributes to the development of, and maintains oversight on the implementation of the annual quality priorities
- The committee reviews and approves the Trust's annual quality report
- The committee ensures that processes are in place to systematically and effectively respond to reflective learning from incidents, complaints, patient/client feedback and learning from deaths
- Weekly Clinical Risk Management Group (CRMG) meetings in place to monitor incidents and learning
- Patient Safety Lead in post
- SAFE system in use trust-wide for self-assessments and audits (e.g., hand hygiene, medicines management, IG, team leader)
- New SAFE Operations Group (SOG) established to replace (SAFE and OOG) and reporting directly to the Integrated Performance Board
- Core Services Oversight Group (CSOG) established (to replace QSRDG) to ensure compliance with CQC standards across core services and beyond

- Regular formal and informal engagement with CQC in response to Level 4 incident to understand regulatory process activity
- Just and Learning culture supported by FTSU framework allowing staff to openly raise concerns
- FTSU Guardian appointed
- FTSU Executive Lead is a member of the committee
- FTSU NED Lead identified

Monitoring quality performance

- The committee receives a quality report from TIG providing a YTD summary of all quality performance metrics at each meeting
- The use of SPC charts has been built into the quality dashboard on TIG to allow committee to monitor data over time
- The members of the committee have access to the Trust Information Gateway, which covers Trust health and social care services, to monitor quality performance and to access the Audit Tracker Tool to monitor progress
- The committee contributes to, and receives the annual quality improvement audit programme and tracks implementation
- The committee receives updates live from the system on regulatory compliance including local audits and procedural documents
- Monitoring of new services in St Helens and Knowsley through existing governance arrangements – oversight of mobilisation plans
- Partnership working with Local Authorities and other stakeholder organisations – more information on groups to be named.

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| ID01 Failure to deliver services safely and responsively to inclusively meet the needs of the population | | Quality & Safety Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Poor experience of care resulting in deterioration and poor health and care outcomes | | | |
| Consequence; | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor experience of care resulting in deterioration and poor health and care outcomes • Non-compliance with regulatory standards and conditions • Widening of health inequalities | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
| 3 x 4 (12) | | Averse | 2 x 4 (8) |
| Mitigations (i.e., processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e., proof points that the risk has been mitigated) | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| Actions to ensure safe care and support every time to prevent variation of standards across localities and teams <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Psychological safety of staff prioritised to enable delivery of the safest care and support - Clinical and professional supervision recorded on SAFE with improving position (74.5% 82.1% vs 90% target) - Mandatory training compliance trust-wide achieved 92.1 94% target - Role essential training compliance achieved 80% - Quality Strategy delivery plan monitored via Quality & Safety Committee - Safe Staffing project group established (<i>see link to risk ID09</i>) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Role essential training compliance below 80% - Service Directors (July 2022) (<i>reference SAFE/OOG action log</i>) - Compliance now exceeding 80% - Clinical and professional supervision compliance sustained 90% (currently 82.1%) - Team Leaders - Deliver plan for roll out of Professional Nurse Advocate Programme across Nursing services - Deputy Chief Nurse (see Quality Strategy delivery plan) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CQC rating of Good or Outstanding - Mandatory training compliance maintained at 90% (exceeded) - Role specific training compliance maintained at 80% - current compliance 81.2% (as of 03/11/22) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CQC inspection - 2022/23 - System-wide harm prevention group to be established – COMPLETE with Deputy Chief Nurse attendance - Implementation of training strategy for the National Patient Safety Strategy - May 2022 - ON-GOING - Role essential training compliance to achieve target 90% - currently 82.1% - Full delivery of the Quality Strategy delivery plan - March 2023 |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New operational structure reflected in governance arrangements to allow focus on locality-based incidents, risks and learning - Wide-ranging clinical audit programme in place leading to improvements in care and support - Policy review processes in place and regular reporting of SitRep to Quality & Safety Committee (all policies available on Staff Zone) - Timely identification and management of risk as described in Risk Policy (GP45) - Professional Nurse Advocate (PNA) programme commenced - Deputy Director of Adult Social Care leading implementation of Schwartz rounds - SOG highlight reports providing oversight - Monitoring of new services in St Helens and Knowsley through existing governance arrangements - Revised governance arrangements to strengthen oversight and reporting sub-IPB established - Safe Operations Group (SOG) established with revised Terms of Reference and membership <p>Actions to ensure safe mobilisation of new services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Business decision making process aligned to strategic objectives - Establishment of mobilisation project at the commencement of new contracts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Supervision Training Strategy - Head of L&OD - Re-establish Schwartz Round steering group with supporting communications plan - Deputy Director of Adult Social Care - Mobilisation gap analysis to evaluate resources required for mobilisation - Availability of health inequalities data aligned to service provision and as part of personalised care assessment processes - Head of Inclusion and Service Directors (September 2022) - <i>see trajectory for improvement to address the gap but work on-going.</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Successful and safe mobilisation of new services | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Embedding of health inequalities/AIS dashboard across all services - July 2022 AIS template in SystmOne and dashboard developed and in use via SAFE Operations Group. Further embedding on-going. - Recruitment of Patient Safety Partner (as per national guidance) - November 2022 - ON-GOING via Your Voice Group - Supervision Training Strategy approved - July 2022 November 2022 - (Extension for action approved by QSC) |
|--|---|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - QEIA process established - Mobilisation projects monitored at POG <p>Actions to ensure equitable outcomes across our population based on the Core20PLUS5 principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health Inequalities & Inclusion Strategy developed and approved - Mechanism in place to ensure involvement of people always included within RCA's (agreed at CRMG) - Participation in C&M prevention pledge programme agreed with identified Executive lead – Chief Nurse - Inclusion dashboard developed - Partnership forum established - Rainbow badge assessment underway - EDS2 assessment criteria agreed <p>AIS template now available in S1 for all services. Performance against completion rates tracked via locality SAFE/OPG meetings.</p> | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Availability and use of AIS data for all core services - Inclusion metrics - High % of patient feedback via FFT is maintained and feedback is representative of the community tested through equality data | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| ID02 Failure to deliver services inclusively with people and communities guiding care, supporting learning and influencing change | | | Quality & Safety Committee oversight |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Inequity of access and experience and outcomes for all groups in our community resulting in exacerbation of health inequalities | | | |
| Consequence; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inequity of access and experience and outcomes for all groups in our community Poor outcomes due to failure to listen to people accessing services Reputation impact leading to poor health and care outcomes | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) | |
| 3 x 4 (12) | Averse | 2 x 4 (8) | |
| Mitigations (i.e., processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e., proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| Actions to ensure collaboration and co-design with community partners <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Strategy ambition <i>"People and communities guiding care"</i> 6000 public members sharing their experience and inspiring improvement Level 1 Always Events accreditation focussing on what good looks like and replicating it every time Complaint's process putting people at the heart of learning QIA and EIA SOP refreshed and approved Recruitment of Population Health Fellow role Quality Improvement sharing and celebration event planned for July 2022 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review of health inequalities and inclusion training to support delivery of culturally sensitive care - Head of Inclusion - COMPLETE Agree workplan for Population Health Fellow including implementation of brief interventions - Head of Inclusion - COMPLETE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CQC rating of Good or Outstanding Measures of equity of access demonstrated through patient/service user data and experience Staff confident in delivering culturally sensitive care All reasonable adjustments are made to facilitate most effective care delivery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CQC inspection - 2022/23 10% of eligible staff to be trained in inclusion and health inequalities curriculum by September 2022 - ON-GOING Recruit 10 Community Partners to support and influence change as part of our engagement/participation groups - September 2022 7 community partners recruited as of 03/11/22. |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| <p>Experience dashboard built on TIG</p> <p>Actions to address health inequalities by hearing from those with poorer health outcomes, learning and understanding the context of people’s lives and what the barriers to better health might be</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - On-going work with system partners (system health inequalities group) to improve identification of minority and vulnerable groups within the population, ensuring that we reach into these communities and make it as easy as possible for people to access appropriate care when required <p>Actions to ensure that all voices, including under-represented groups can be heard and encouraged to influence change</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Active engagement through the Partnership Forum with multiple groups/agencies across Wirral (e.g., Wirral Change, Mencap, LGBT, veterans) supporting close links with our communities and positively influencing participation and involvement <p>Actions to ensure children and families living in poverty are engaged to improve outcomes and life chances</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Established service user groups including Involve, Your Voice and Inclusion Forum with a commitment to co-design - Participation in Local Safeguarding Children Partnerships across all Boroughs where 0-19/25 services are delivered - Good partnerships with other agencies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor compliance and completion of accessibility and inclusion template across all services - Deputy COO/Service Directors - improving position (<i>see ID01 - AIS template now available in S1 for all services and tracked through local governance</i>) - Lack of staff confidence in accessing and interpreting health inequalities data - Head of Inclusion - National workforce shortage for Health visitors (incentive scheme in place across Knowsley) and School nurses - C&M workforce strategy for Health Visitors and School nurses Deputy COO/Service Director/Deputy Director of HR&OD | | <p>Further recruitment continues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Model/framework to focus on the 20+5 model developed - March 2023 - Improved completion of AIS template across all services (supporting waiting list management) - July 2022 - ON-GOING - 4 Always Events coproduced alongside people with lived experience - March 2023 |
|--|---|--|---|

Board Assurance Framework 2022-23

Strategic risks with oversight at Finance & Performance Committee

When considering the mitigations and structures in place for each strategic risk, the committee recognises the following standing mitigations which constitute the quality governance framework in place across the Trust.

Corporate Governance

- The Finance & Performance Committee meets on a bi-monthly schedule with an agreed annual workplan in place
- The committee has Terms of Reference in place, reviewed annually (last reviewed in October 2022)
- The committee completes a self-assessment against its work in respect of the agreed Terms of Reference (last completed in September/October 2022)
- The Chief Finance Officer is the Executive Lead for the committee
- The Integrated Performance Board is the highest operational group in the Trust and maintains oversight and scrutiny of performance to provide assurance to the committee
- The FROG reports to the IPB on all matters associated with financial and contractual performance and the SOG reports to the IPB on all matters associated with operational performance
- In accordance with the Trust's Risk Policy, the committee receives a report on high-level organisational risks, and can access all operational risk status through the TIG on-line system, to monitor actions to mitigate risks and determine any impact on strategic risks being managed through the BAF
- The committee receives an update on trust-wide policies related to the duties of the committee (via SAFE) and on the implementation of recommendations from internal audit reviews (via TIG - Audit Tracker Tool)

Financial and Operational Governance

- The governance structure in place provides clarity on the groups reporting to the committee
- The committee receives the Terms of Reference for the groups reporting to it
- The committee contributes to the development of the annual financial plan (including oversight of P&E and capital expenditure) and the Digital Strategy Delivery Plan and receives quarterly assurance on implementation
- The committee receives the minutes from group meetings for noting
- The committee reviews and approves the Trust's financial and operational plans prior to submission to the regulators

Monitoring performance

- The committee receives a finance report providing a summary of all financial performance metrics at each meeting (via TIG)
- The committee receives a report on progress to achieve Productivity & Efficiency targets across the Trust
- The committee receives an operational performance report providing a summary of all operational performance metrics (national, regional and local) at each meeting (via TIG)
- The members of the committee have access to the Trust Information Gateway to monitor performance

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>ID03 The constitution and governance of the Provider Collaborative (member/stakeholder) Board and clarity on the establishment of a Lead Provider for some or all services is not exacted</p> <p>ID03 (NEW) The collaborative becomes a ‘one size fits all’ / Lead Provider collaborative and is not cognisant of the political climate, partner relationships and subtleties of working in Place for community services.</p> | <p>Finance & Performance Committee oversight</p> |
|--|--|

Link to 5-year strategy - Deliver sustainable health and care services

Consequence;

- Non-compliance with Duty to Collaborate
- Negative reputational impact across ICPs and in wider ICS

| Current risk rating (LxC) | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| 2x2 (4) | Open | 1x2 (2) |

| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
|--|---|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Trust is an active member of the collaborative and participant in discussions through the CEO • All decision making is based on consensus • The Good Governance Institute (GGI) has been commissioned to build the Strategic Outline Case (SOC) which will need to be agreed and signed off by <u>ALL partners</u> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SOC has not been developed or approved - Chief Executive • There isn't currently consensus across the collaborative for the position/direction of travel - Chief Executive | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SOC is supported by ALL partners and agreed and approved by the ICB • A lead provider is agreed within the collaborative for MH and LD; community services stay in the collaborative space for the development and improvement of service delivery • The SOC is not agreed and / or accepted by the ICB | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SOC will be developed and shared with partners and ICB - Q4 22/23 |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| ID04 The financial settlement requires an unachievable efficiency target creating a risk to the financial sustainability of the organisation | | | Finance & Performance Committee oversight |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Make most efficient use of resources to ensure value for money | | | |
| Consequence; | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial sustainability impact Negative reputational impact | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
| 3x4 (12) | | Averse | 2x4 (8) |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial plan 2022-23 reviewed and supported by Board of Directors with acknowledgement of best endeavours Robust CIP governance processes in place with oversight at Programme Management Group Capital expenditure plan reviewed monthly at Programme Management Group Productivity & Efficiency programme status well monitored (as at end of October 2022) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target: £4.1m £ and % projects approved against target: £3.42m (83%) £ and % delivered against plan: £2.19m (91%) Monthly monitoring of financial position at IPB and bi-monthly at FPC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slippage on financial plan reported at M7 - Chief Finance Officer / ELT Productivity & Efficiency programme ideas / PIDs in development reduced since June 22 - Chief Strategy Officer | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delivery of financial plan 2022-23 Delivery of Productivity & Efficiency programme target for 2022-23 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial plan delivered or mitigated position with ICS - March 2023 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Finance, Resources & Oversight Group (FROG) established to strengthen financial governance sub-IPB• Focused work at Senior Development Forum on areas of financial pressure in H1 followed up at ELT with action plans/impact on run rates to be monitored at FROG• Quarterly meetings of CFO with ICB CFO• HFMA financial sustainability checklist completed and tested by MIAA with good assurance provided | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| ID05 Poor financial performance at Place creates a negative impact on the Trust and leads to increased monitoring and regulation | | Finance & Performance Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Deliver sustainable health and care services | | | |
| Consequence; | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor service user access, experience and outcomes Poor contract performance - financial implications (system) System regulatory action | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
| 3x4 (12) | | Averse | 1x4 (4) |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| | | NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Place-based governance arrangements establishing following approval by CEOs Target Operating Model approved Place-based Partnership Board established Wirral Place Director and CEOs meeting weekly Strategic COOs meeting weekly Wirral CFOs meetings regularly ICB required Wirral Place, Finance & Resources Group established to be arranged - expected start date October 2022 Wirral Provider Partnership MoU and ToRs developed for review and approval at inaugural meeting on 5 December 2022 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrangements for Wirral Provider Partnership to be agreed (<i>including delegation of authority from Board of Directors - 2023/24</i>) - Chief Executive Place-based Partnership Board to establish and embed - Chief Executive Wirral Provider Partnership to establish and embed - Chief Executive Place risk register to determine impact for Trust and mitigate system-wide risks - Chief | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delivery of financial plans Improved performance at Place - measured by system-wide indicators Patient satisfaction and feedback Stakeholder satisfaction and feedback Positive impact on health inequalities demonstrated | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inaugural Place-Based Partnership Board - September 2022 - COMPLETE Establishment of Wirral Provider Partnership with oversight of provider performance - October 2022 - inaugural meeting in December 2022 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Wirral Provider Partnership, once operational, accountable to the Place-based Partnership Board• Financial plan 2022-23 reviewed and supported by Board of Directors with acknowledgement of best endeavours• Robust CIP governance processes in place with oversight at Programme Oversight Group• Service contracts in place, approved and with strengthened scrutiny and governance arrangements• HFMA financial sustainability checklist completed and tested by MIAA with good assurance provided | <p>Executive/Deputy Chief Executive</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• As at the end of Sept 2022 there is no formal monitoring of Wirral Place combined Financial Performance | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| ID06 Trust operational and financial performance is poor and has an impact on Place performance and future monitoring and regulation | | Finance & Performance Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Deliver sustainable health and care services | | | |
| Consequence; | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor service user access, experience and outcomes Poor contract performance - financial implications (Trust) Negative reputational impact | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
| 2x4 (8) | | Averse | 1x4 (4) |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance framework in place to monitor performance across the Trust Monthly Integrated Performance Board established and embedding TIG dashboard allowing tracking of performance KPI performance monitored and reported monthly - actions plan in place for red KPIs Waiting list management process in place (also aligned to health inequalities) Service Directors in post and Organisational Design based on localities in place Organisational risks tracked through the governance structure Strategic COOs meeting weekly | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence and assurance on performance according to population need and demographics - Chief Operating Officer, Chief Nurse and EDI Lead Safe Staffing systems and processes embedded to ensure optimum workforce levels to deliver operationally - Director of HR&OD (via Safe Staffing Group) Waiting list data and trajectories to be built into TIG - Chief Operating Officer | <p>NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved position on red KPIs (c10%) Reduction in agency usage across the Trust Sustained strong patient satisfaction and feedback (average 92% recommending Trust services) - see mitigations Stakeholder satisfaction and feedback through Place Based Partnership Board Positive impact on health inequalities demonstrated through service provision (waiting list data and patient experience) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction in number of red KPIs - October 2022 - ONGOING Segmentation of waiting lists according to Health Inequalities data - CORE20plus5 model - March 2023 Staff survey results - March 2023 |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust position clear in Place governance - <i>see ID03 and ID05</i> • Wirral CFOs meetings regularly • Wirral Provider Partnership MoU and ToRs developed for review and approval at inaugural meeting on 5 December 2022 • Wirral Provider Partnership accountable to the Place-based Partnership Board • Service contracts in place, approved and with strengthened scrutiny and governance arrangements • Finance, Resources & Oversight Group established to strengthen financial governance sub-IPB • Waiting list oversight workshops established through Deputy COO leadership • Winter plan in place across providers • Good service user feedback (at M7 2nd highest Trust in C&M for volume with 94% recommending the Trust) • Waiting list data built into TIG dashboard and presented at IPB (November 2022) • HFMA financial sustainability checklist completed and tested by MIAA with good assurance provided | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redesign of Operational Performance dashboard in TIG to include SPC charts and trajectories for improved performance, as required - Chief Operating Officer • Reduction in agency usage across core services - HRD | | |
|---|---|--|--|

Board Assurance Framework 2022-23

Strategic risks with oversight at People & Culture Committee

When considering the mitigations and structures in place for each strategic risk, the committee recognises the following standing mitigations which constitute the quality governance framework in place across the Trust.

Corporate Governance

- The Education & Workforce Committee meets on a bi-monthly schedule with an agreed annual workplan in place
- The committee has Terms of Reference in place, reviewed annually (last reviewed in October 2022)
- The committee completes a self-assessment against its work in respect of the agreed Terms of Reference (last completed in September/October 2022)
- The Director of HR & Organisational Development is the Executive Lead for the committee
- The Integrated Performance Board is the highest operational group in the Trust and maintains oversight and scrutiny of performance to provide assurance to the committee
- The PCOG (People & Culture Oversight Group) reports to the IPB on all matters associated with people and workforce performance; the FROG reports to the IPB on all matters associated with operational performance
- In accordance with the Trust's Risk Policy, the committee receives a report on high-level organisational risks, and can access all operational risk status through the Datix on-line system, to monitor actions to mitigate risks and determine any impact on strategic risks being managed through the BAF
- The committee receives an update on trust-wide policies related to the duties of the committee (via SAFE) and on the implementation of recommendations from internal audit reviews (via TIG - Audit Tracker Tool)
- The Chair of the committee is the NED health and wellbeing lead for the Trust

Workforce Governance

- The governance structure in place provides clarity on the groups reporting to the committee
- The committee contributes to the development of the annual People Strategy Delivery Plan and priorities and receives bi-monthly assurance on implementation
- The committee receives the Terms of Reference for the groups reporting to it
- The committee receives the minutes from group meetings for noting
- The committee contributes to the development of, and maintains oversight on the implementation of the annual people/workforce priorities
- The committee reviews and approves the EDS2 (workforce domains), WRES and WDES annual reports and associated action plans
- The committee ensures that processes are in place to systematically and effectively respond to reflective learning from staffing incidents and employee relations cases
- The committee receives and approves the Trust's workforce plan
- FTSU Guardian appointed and FTSU Executive Lead is a member of the committee

Monitoring workforce performance

- The committee receives a workforce report providing a summary of all workforce performance metrics (YTD) at each meeting
- The members of the committee have access to the Trust Information Gateway, to monitor workforce performance

- The committee receives updates live from the system on regulatory compliance including local audits and procedural documents
- Monitoring of new services in St Helens and Knowsley through existing governance arrangements – oversight of mobilisation plans

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ID07 Our people do not feel looked after, their employee experience is poor, and their health and wellbeing is not prioritised | | Education & Workforce Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Improve the wellbeing of our employees Better employee experience to attract and retain talent | | | |
| Consequence; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low staff morale - increase in sickness absence levels and reduced staff engagement • Poor staff survey results • Poor staff retention • Reputation impact leading to poor health and care outcomes • Increase in staff turnover and recruitment challenges | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | |
| 3 x 4 (12) | | Averse | |
| Target risk rating (LxC) | | 1 x 4 (4) | |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People Strategy published with clear alignment to the NHS People Promise and ambition 1 'Looking after our people' • Wellbeing Champions in services across the Trust • Quarterly pulse survey embedded across the Trust (11% completion rate for Q2 - 52.2% of respondents 'feeling calm', 39.2% of respondents 'feeling anxious') • Q2 pulse survey results saw an improvement in scores for feeling proactively supported in health and | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pulse survey completion rates - Deputy Director of HR and L&OD • Pulse survey engagement score tracking through Trust governance - Deputy Director of HR and L&OD • Effective exit processes to ensure learning and improve retention - Deputy Director of HR and L&OD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved levels of staff engagement and satisfaction in national and local surveys - <i>see mitigations for on-going tracking</i> • Improvement in quarterly pulse survey engagement score • Reduction in staff turnover rates (M7 = 15% M5 = 14.5%) • Reduction in staff sickness rates (M7 position = 6.3% M5 = 6.9%) • Health and wellbeing conversation training is delivered to all managers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Team WCHC values embedded and visible - March 2023 • Health and wellbeing is personalised for all staff - March 2023 • Embedding of e-roster - March 2023 • Outcome of insight work following pilot of agile working principles - October 2022 - January 2023 • Increase in % of responses to quarterly pulse survey - October |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <p>wellbeing and feeling supported as a team - higher than NHS overall</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Q2 engagement score = 6.47% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Motivation = 6.41 – Involvement = 6.47 – Advocacy = 6.54 • Staff survey team intentions at local level • Team WCHC staff recognition scheme & Staff Awards successfully delivered • Health and wellbeing conversation training for managers (87 staff received training by end of November 2022) • Wellbeing (including financial wellbeing) information on Staff Zone for all staff • Wagestream available for all staff • NEW Vivup staff benefits platform launched • FFT results providing high satisfaction levels from service users (>90%) • Leadership Qualities Framework in place and supporting development of leadership skills • System Leadership Training for senior leaders • Staff Council • Agile working principles developed with JUSS and Staff Council for pilot (Q2) • Managers briefings in place and issued to support with the dissemination of key messages • Annual appraisals with focus on health and wellbeing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greener grass conversations when staff are considering leaving - Deputy Director of HR and L&OD • Review of people governance structure to reflect tracking of metrics - interim Director of HR & L&OD • Trust-wide retention plan - interim Director of HR & L&OD • Impact of industrial action - Interim Director of HR&OD • Behavioural standards framework linked to values and LQF - Head of L&OD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction in staffing related risks on Datix (M7 = 26 staffing risks on Datix of which 0 high-level, 24 medium and 2 low level) • Team WCHC values are visible in all people practices (recruitment, appraisal, supervision) and at all levels • Wellbeing conversations achieved according to target in People Strategy Delivery Plan • Leadership Quality Framework embedded across the Trust • Launch behavioural standards framework | <p>2022 (Q2 achieved 11% against an NHSE benchmark of 10%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved engagement score (<i>involved, advocacy, motivated</i>) in quarterly pulse survey • Annual Staff Survey results - March 2023 • Amendments to LQF - January 2023 • Behavioural statements - 2023-24 |
|---|---|--|---|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Freedom To Speak Up Guardian connecting across the Trust• Revised People Governance arrangements establishing to support tracking and monitoring of metrics - People and Culture Oversight Group• Recruitment deep dive completed and presented to IPB (October 2022) and PCC (December 2022) providing greater awareness of initiatives and understanding of available data• Recruitment task and finish group established | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ID08 Our People Inclusion intentions are not delivered; people are not able to thrive as employees of our Trust and the workforce is not representative of our population | | Education & Workforce Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Improve the wellbeing of our employees Better employee experience to attract and retain talent | | | |
| Consequence; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor outcomes for the people working in the Trust Reduced staff engagement Failure to meet the requirements of the Equality Act 2010 Increase in staff turnover and recruitment challenges | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) | |
| 3 x 4 (12) <i>(increased likelihood from 2 to 3)</i> | Cautious | 1 x 4 (4) | |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion and Health Inequalities Strategy published with a commitment to empowering and upskilling our people People Strategy published with clear alignment to the NHS People Promise and ambition 1 'Looking after our people' Staff network groups established for BAME, LGBTQ, (Dis)Ability and Carers Staff Council Leadership Qualities Framework in place and supporting development of leadership skills | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> WDES and WRES actions to improve the experience of disabled staff and BAME workforce have not achieved the intended outcome - Deputy HRD/Head of HR/Head of Inclusion Trust to raise awareness of reasonable adjustments, sharing lived experiences, increasing declaration rates and membership of the | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved staff experience for disabled staff (WDES) Improved levels of staff engagement in national and local surveys Reduction in staff turnover rates Improvement in quarterly pulse survey engagement score (by equality groups) Increased numbers of people joining the organisation from currently underrepresented groups | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deliver cultural awareness training - March 2023 Further develop staff networks - March 2023 Deliver all actions from the WDES action plan - June 2023 Deliver all actions from the WRES action plan - July 2023 Increased diversity at senior roles in the trust and at Trust Board Annual Staff Survey results - March 2023 |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WRES and EDS completion with oversight at EWC • Gender pay gap report to EWC • Wellbeing Champions in services across the Trust • Inclusion Champions in services across the Trust • WDES reporting increase in number of staff reporting they are disabled • WDES reporting increase in the likelihood of being appointed as a disabled member of staff • A more representative board in comparison to the rest of the workforce • Implementation of the reverse mentoring scheme with BAME staff • WRES reporting an increase in the percentage of the workforce from a BAME background • Recruitment deep dive completed and presented to IPB (October 2022) and PCC (December 2022) providing greater awareness of initiatives and understanding of available data • Recruitment task and finish group established | <p>(Dis)Ability network - Head of HR/Head of Inclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reverse mentoring scheme to be set up with directors and disabled staff - Head of HR/Head of Inclusion • Race Disparity Ratio data pending from NHS England - Head of HR • Involvement in widening participation initiatives and share lived experiences to encourage BAME applicants to the Trust - Head of HR/Head of Inclusion • Increased diversity at senior roles in the trust and at Trust Board - Director of HR & OD | <p>including those from Core20Plus5 communities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of multiple career pathways • Training is delivered to senior leaders and line managers in culture, equality, inclusion, fairness and justice • Targets are set and monitored to ensure workforce is more representative of the local community at all levels • Further develop staff networks as active partners in decision making processes • Improved and sustained levels of staff satisfaction and feedback | |
|--|--|---|--|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| ID09 Safe Staffing levels are not maintained across the Trust impacting on the safe delivery of services, staff morale and regulatory compliance | | Education & Workforce Committee oversight | |
| Link to 5-year strategy - Grow, develop and realise potential | | | |
| Consequence; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inability to attract and recruit appropriately skilled staff • Poor staff retention • Low staff morale • Reputation impact leading to poor health and care outcomes | | | |
| Current risk rating (LxC) | | Risk appetite | Target risk rating (LxC) |
| 3 x 4 (12) <i>(decreased likelihood from 4 to 3)</i> | | Averse | 2 x 4 (8) |
| Mitigations (i.e. processes in place, controls in place) | Gaps (Including an identified lead to address the gap and link to relevant action plan) | Outcomes/Outputs (i.e. proof points that the risk has been mitigated) NOTE: ensuring clear alignment of the outcome to the gap it addresses | Trajectory to mitigate and achieve target risk rating |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of Safe Staffing Project Group • Safe Staffing Project tracked through PMO with PID approved at PMG • Core Services Oversight Group established to support regulatory compliance across core services • SAFE/OOG combined with oversight of key safe staffing metrics • Mandatory training compliance high and stable - 94.4% at M7 • Safe Staffing on CICC - safe staffing model supports professional judgement by maximising use of available staffing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full roll-out of E-roster including SafeCare facility - Deputy Director of HR & L&OD • Role essential training compliance - Service Directors & Quality Leads - M7 = increased to 81.2% • Sustained reporting of supervision levels - Service Directors & Quality Leads M7 = 84.3% clinical and professional supervision, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full roll-out of E-roster across the Trust (M7 = 85% roll-out where technically possible including newly TUPE in services). • Improved and sustained role essential training and clinical/professional supervision levels - improving position to be monitored via SOG and IPB for a sustained position over 5-6 months (by March 2023) • Reduction in staffing incidents and risks (M7 = 26 staffing risks on Datix of which 0 high-level, 24 medium) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Future presentation of safe staffing data from automated system - Q4 2022-23 - IN PROGRESS (Committee - December 2022; Board - February 2023) • E-rostering utilisation is optimised to support safe care delivery - March 2023 • SNCT training delivered - Q4 2022-23 • 6-monthly staffing audit using SNCT - Q1 2023-24 |

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>resource, implementing a holistic multidisciplinary team model including the use of therapies staff</p> | <p>76.4% ASC supervision, 73% management supervision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust-wide retention plan - interim Director of HR & L&OD • Triangulation of safe staffing data with quality and safety metrics - Deputy HRD and Deputy Chief Nurse • Access the Safer Nursing Care Tool to validate workforce establishment setting - Deputy Chief Nurse | <p><i>and 2 low level) (M7 = 38 staffing incidents (increased from 22 in M6))</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff satisfaction and feedback | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mitigation of risk ID2784 (RR12 L3 x C4) - Lack of availability of Safe Staffing Dashboard to provide best management of staffing resource and high-quality assurance to Board of Directors - expected date of completion on Datix 31.12.22 • Development of a WCHC widening participation offer to create new talent pipelines into the organisation |
|--|---|---|--|